

## REMARKS

The claims are 1 to 12. The Examiner has restricted the case to one species within the first group of compounds originally claimed and the remaining claims have been cancelled without prejudice, in order to advance prosecution.

As noted by the Examiner, Applicants have preserved their right to file divisional applications on the non-elected species, as represented by the now cancelled claims.

The claims stand objected to by the Examiner. It is the Examiner's position that the claims contain non-elected subject matter and should be amended to exclude the non-elected subject matter. No rejection to the claims is made.

Applicants note that original claim 6 does not contain non-elected subject matter and should have been noted as allowable if re-written in independent form. Applicants submit, however, that they have taken the step to do so, and the single remaining claim to the elected and non-rejected subject matter is submitted to be patentable.

The Examiner is expressly solicited to allow new claim 6 and pass the case to issue.

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the above amendments and arguments,  
  
reexamination and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully,

/Robert Charles Beam/  
Robert Charles Beam, Esq.  
Reg. No. 28,182  
Attorney for Applicant  
(973) 724-3411

Mailing Address:  
U.S. Army ARDEC  
Attn: AMSRD-AAR-GC  
R. Beam / Building 3  
Picatinny Arsenal  
New Jersey 07806-5000